Logical Termini
Project Needs:

- Reconstruct roadway pavement
- Provide pedestrian and bicycle facilities
Public Meeting

• An open house public meeting was held on November 12, 2014

• 43 people attended

• 4 concept design alternatives were presented
Existing Conditions

Existing Typical Section
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Public Meeting Summary

- Not one alternative was favored over others
- Loss of parking was a concern
- Residents were in favor of bicycle facilities
Public Meeting Follow-up

West Main Street Property Owners

OWNERSHIP
1. Van Deventer Manufacturing Co (Van Dee)
2. Cyril Matter (Barco Products)
3. Jim Anderson (Old Second National Bank Aurora)
4. Ollie's Garage (David Beckman)
5. Joseph Muranyi - Complete Electric (US Bank NA Trust)
Public Meeting Follow-up

East Main Street Property Owners

Property Owners:
1. Valley Sheltered Workshop (North Star Trust)
2. Thomas & Felicia Jones
3. Donald & Carol Robbins
4. Chase Bank
5. Batavia Enterprises Inc. - River Island Development LLC
6. Calvary Episcopal Church
7. Moss Family Funeral Home
What We Heard...

• General concerns
  • Loss of on-street and off-street parking
  • Loss of driveway access during construction

• General Comments
  • West end owners have deliveries with large trucks
  • Most were in favor of roadway improvements and bicycle accommodations
Revised Project Needs

• Reconstruct existing pavement

• Provide pedestrian and bicycle facilities

• Maintain on-street parking

• Minimize impacts to off-street parking and access
Additional Alternative

Concept Alternative E
Proposed Typical Section – Looking East
Recommendations

• Alternative B - South On-Street Bike Lane and North Side Parking
  ➢ Does not meet MUTCD

• Alternative C - North and South On-Street Bike Lanes
  ➢ Eliminates Parking

• Alternative D – Shared-Use Path
  ➢ Eliminates Parking
Recommendations

• Alternative A – Share the Road and North Side Parking
  
  ➢ Pros: Maintains Parking, creates awareness of cyclists
  ➢ Cons: Does not separate cyclists from roadway lane, westbound cyclists adjacent to parked vehicles

• Alternative E – Shared-Use Path and North Side Parking
  
  ➢ Pros: Maintains Parking, separates cyclists from the roadway
  ➢ Cons: Experienced cyclists may still ride on the roadway, potential for pedestrian conflicts on the path
Recommended Alternative

Concept Alternative E
Proposed Typical Section – Looking East
Next Steps

• Selection of a Preferred Alternative

• Obtain environmental and design approvals

• Public hearing to present the Preferred Alternative

• Development of Construction Plans and right-of-way acquisition (Phase II)
• Expected for late Fall 2015

• Present the Preferred Alternative

• Contact any property owner where right-of-way or temporary easements are required prior to the hearing

• Notify residents of meeting date and location
Questions?